

FILED

SEP 8 1965

No. 545

JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

JOSEPH E. SEAGRAM & SONS, INC., *et al.*,
Appellants,

v.

DONALD S. HOSTETTER, Chairman, JOHN C. HART,
WALTER C. SCHMIDT, BENJAMIN H. BALCOM,
ROBERT E. DOYLE, constituting the State Liquor
Authority, and LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ, Attorney
General of the State of New York,

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE
STATE OF NEW YORK

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

HERBERT BROWNELL
THOMAS F. DALY
25 Broadway
New York, N. Y. 10004
Attorneys for Appellants

THOMAS F. DALY
GERARD A. NAVAGH
GENE R. McHAM
LORD, DAY & LORD
Of Counsel



INDEX

	PAGE
Citations of Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Statutes Involved	4
Questions Presented	5
Statement	6
A. The Parties	7
B. The Law Concerning Liquor Prices Prior to Ch. 531	8
C. Events Preceding 1964 Legislation to Amend the Alcoholic Beverage Control Law	9
D. The New Act	11
E. Appellants' Operational and Pricing Structure	15
F. Opinions Below	18
The Questions Presented Are Substantial	20
I. The Twenty-first Amendment to the Constitution of the United States does not validate a New York statute which levies its major economic burden on the operations of the distilled spirits industry in other states and which does not promote temperance or check evils peculiar to the trade in alcoholic beverages in New York	20
II. The provisions of Section 9 requiring distillers and wholesalers to offer New York purchasers a price no higher than the lowest price charged	20

elsewhere violate the policy and terms of federal antitrust acts and are thus in conflict with the supremacy clause of the Constitution of the United States	30
III. Section 9 of Chapter 531, 1964 New York Session Laws, places an undue and illegal burden on interstate commerce	39
IV. The provisions of the new act requiring distillers and wholesalers to offer New York purchasers a price no higher than the lowest price given elsewhere are not justified under the state's police power and are hence a violation of due process of law guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States	44
A. Section 9 is not in Furtherance of a Valid Police Power Objective	44
B. Section 9 is Arbitrary and Unreasonable	51
C. Section 9 is Intolerably Vague	56
V. The no higher than the lowest price provisions of Section 9 of Chapter 531, 1964 New York Session Laws, deny appellants equal protection of the laws guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States	60
VI. Certain provisions of Section 7 of Chapter 531, 1964 New York Session Laws, also violate the federal constitution	62
Conclusion	64
Appendix A—The opinion of the Court of Appeals of the State of New York	A-1
Appendix B—The opinion of the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department	A-15

PAGE

Appendix C—The opinion of the New York Supreme Court, Special Term, Albany County -----	A-19
Appendix D—The final order of remittitur of the Court of Appeals of the State of New York -----	A-34
Appendix E—The text of Chapter 531, 1964 New York Session Laws, with the challenged sections appropriately indicated -----	A-37

CITATIONS

Cases:

<i>A. C. Becken Co. v. Gemex Corp.</i> , 272 F.2d 1 (7th Cir. 1959) -----	53
<i>American Column & Lumber Co. v. United States</i> , 257 U.S. 377 (1921) -----	22
<i>American Tobacco Co. v. United States</i> , 328 U.S. 781 (1946) -----	48
<i>Baldwin v. G. A. F. Seelig, Inc.</i> , 294 U.S. 511 (1935) -----	40
<i>Boseman v. Connecticut General Life Ins. Co.</i> , 301 U.S. 196 (1937) -----	43
<i>Brown Shoe Company v. United States</i> , 370 U.S. 294 (1962) -----	59
<i>Calvary Presbyterian Church v. State Liquor Authority</i> , 245 App. Div. 176, 281 N.Y. Supp. 81 (4th Dep't 1935), <i>aff'd</i> , 270 N.Y. 497 (1936) -----	50
<i>Carter v. Virginia</i> , 321 U.S. 131 (1944) -----	4
<i>Cities Service Co. v. Peerless Co.</i> , 340 U.S. 179 (1950) -----	46
<i>Clement v. Two Barrels of Whiskey</i> , 136 App. Div. 291, 120 N.Y. Supp. 1044 (4th Dep't 1910) -----	45

	PAGE
<i>Department of Revenue v. James B. Beam Distilling Co.</i> , 377 U.S. 341 (1964) -----	27
<i>Florida Lime & Avocado Growers, Inc. v. Paul</i> , 373 U.S. 132 (1963) -----	63
<i>Franklin Nat'l Bank v. New York</i> , 347 U.S. 373 (1954) -----	36
<i>F. T. C. v. Anheuser-Busch, Inc.</i> , 363 U.S. 536 (1960) -----	32, 33
<i>F. T. C. v. Beech-Nut Packing Co.</i> , 257 U.S. 441 (1922) -----	39, 53
<i>George W. Warner & Co. v. Black & Decker Mfg. Co.</i> , 277 F.2d 787 (2d Cir. 1960) -----	53
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i> , 22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 1 (1824) -----	40
<i>Goldblatt v. Town of Hempstead</i> , 369 U.S. 590 (1962) -----	4, 45, 51
<i>Hartford Accident & Indem. Co. v. Delta & Pine-land Co.</i> , 292 U.S. 143 (1934) -----	43
<i>Hood & Sons, Inc. v. DuMond</i> , 336 U.S. 525 (1949) -----	41
<i>Hostetter v. Idlewild Bon Voyage Liquor Corp.</i> , 377 U.S. 324 (1964) -----	26, 44
<i>Indianapolis Brewing Co. v. Liquor Control Comm'n</i> , 305 U.S. 391 (1939) -----	24
<i>International Salt Co. v. United States</i> , 332 U.S. 392 (1947) -----	59
<i>In the Matter of General Foods Corp. (I)</i> , 50 F.T.C. 885 (1954) -----	32
<i>Jatros v. Bowles</i> , 143 F.2d 453 (6th Cir. 1944) -----	29
<i>Joseph S. Finch & Co. v. McKittrick</i> , 305 U.S. 395 (1939) -----	24
<i>Kaplan v. McGoldrick</i> , 198 Misc. 440, 100 N.Y.S.2d 45 (Sup. Ct. 1950) -----	63

	PAGE
<i>Kasper v. O'Connell</i> , 38 Misc.2d 3, 237 N.Y.S.2d 722 (Sup. Ct. 1963) -----	63
<i>Kiefer-Stewart Co. v. Joseph E. Seagram & Sons</i> , 340 U.S. 211 (1951) -----	39
<i>Laird & Co. v. Gage</i> (D.C. Kans. 1964) -----	42
<i>Levine v. O'Connell</i> , 275 App. Div. 217, 88 N.Y.S. 2d 672 (1st Dep't 1949), <i>aff'd</i> , 300 N.Y. 658 (1949) -----	45
<i>Mahoney v. Joseph Triner Corp.</i> , 304 U.S. 401 (1938) -----	24
<i>McGowan v. State of Maryland</i> , 366 U.S. 420 (1961) -----	60
<i>Nebbia v. New York</i> , 291 U.S. 502 (1934) -----	46, 51
<i>New York City Housing Auth. v. Knowles</i> , 200 Misc. 156, 103 N.Y.S.2d 270 (Munic. Ct. 1951) -----	63
<i>Nippert v. City of Richmond</i> , 327 U.S. 416 (1946) -----	27
<i>Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. United States</i> , 356 U.S. 1 (1958) -----	36
<i>People v. Luhrs</i> , 195 N.Y. 377 (1908) -----	45
<i>People v. Ryan</i> , 274 N.Y. 149 (1937) -----	50
<i>People v. Tourists International, S.A.</i> , 40 Misc.2d 99, 242 N.Y.S.2d 756 (Sup. Ct. 1963) -----	50
<i>Polar Ice Cream and Creamery Corp. v. Andrews</i> , 375 U.S. 361 (1964) -----	41
<i>Poulos v. New Hampshire</i> , 345 U.S. 395 (1953) -----	4
<i>Quaker Oats Co. v. City of New York</i> , 295 N.Y. 527 (1946) -----	35
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i> , 377 U.S. 533 (1964) -----	60
<i>Russo v. Morgan</i> , 174 Misc. 1013, 21 N.Y.S.2d 637 (Sup. Ct. 1940) -----	45

	PAGE
<i>Sachs v. Brown-Forman Distillers Corp.</i> , 134 F. Supp. 9 (S.D.N.Y. 1955) -----	32
<i>Schwegmann Bros. v. Calvert Distillers Corp.</i> , 341 U.S. 384 (1951) -----	23
<i>Schwegmann Bros. v. Louisiana Bd. of Alcoholic Beverage Control</i> , 216 La. 148, 43 So. 2d 248 (1949) -----	45
<i>Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona</i> , 325 U.S. 761 (1945) -----	4, 36
<i>Standard Oil Co. v. F. T. C.</i> , 340 U.S. 231 (1951) -----	33
<i>Standard Oil Co. of California v. United States</i> , 337 U.S. 293 (1949) -----	59
<i>State Board of Equalization v. Young's Market Co.</i> , 299 U.S. 59 (1936) -----	24
<i>Theatre Enterprises, Inc. v. Paramount Film Distrib. Corp.</i> , 346 U.S. 537 (1954) -----	36
<i>United States v Colgate & Co.</i> , 250 U.S. 300 (1919) -----	39
<i>United States v. Frankfort Distilleries</i> , 324 U.S. 293 (1945) -----	20, 25
<i>United States v. International Harvester Co.</i> , 274 U.S. 693 (1927) -----	36
<i>United States v. Parke-Davis & Co.</i> , 362 U.S. 29 (1960) -----	39
<i>United States v. South-Eastern Underwriters</i> , 322 U.S. 533 (1944) -----	40
<i>United States v. United States Steel Corp.</i> , 251 U.S. 417 (1920) -----	36
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.</i> , 348 U.S. 483 (1955) -----	45
<i>Winters v. New York</i> , 333 U.S. 507, 515 (1948) -----	57
<i>Wynehamer v. People of New York</i> , 13 N.Y. 378 (1856) -----	45

	PAGE
<i>The Constitution of the United States</i>	
Article I, Section 8, Clause 3	39
Article VI	35
Twenty-first Amendment	20
 <i>Statutes</i>	
New York Gen. Bus. Law, § 369-a	31
Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 13(a)-(f), 21(a) (1959)	31
Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-7 (1959)	36
 <i>Books and Treatises</i>	
Alderfer and M'chl, <i>Economics of American In- dustry</i> (3 ed. '57)	47
1955 Att'y Gen. <i>xt'l Comm. Antitrust Rep.</i>	47
Austin, <i>Price Discrimination</i> (1950)	35
Joint Co' of the States to Study Alcoholic Bever. <i>ws, Uniform Standards for Adver- tising Alcoholic Beverages in Newspapers and J ines</i> (1963)	47
 <i>Articles, Notes and Comments</i>	
Dowling, <i>Interstate Commerce and State Power— Revised Version</i> , 47 Colum. L. Rev. 547 (1947)	41
Due Process Requirements in Statutes, 62 Harv. L. Rev. 77 (1948)	57
The Twenty-First Amendment Versus the Inter- state Commerce Clause, 55 Yale L. J. 815 (1946)	26
Comment, 25 Calif. L. Rev. 718 (1936)	28